#### **LIVING PLANET REPORT 1999**

#### **Cover (front)**

Living Planet Report 1999

#### Cover (back)

WWF aims to conserve nature and ecological processes by:

preserving genetic, species, and ecosystem diversity

ensuring that the use of renewable natural resources is sustainable both now and in the longer term, for the benefit of all life on Earth

promoting actions to reduce to a minimum pollution and the wasteful exploitation and consumption of resources and energy.

WWF-World Wide Fund For Nature is the world's largest and most experienced independent conservation organization.

It has 4.7 million regular supporters and a global network active in 96 countries. WWF is known as the World Wildlife Fund in Canada and the United States of America.

# WWF INTERNATIONAL, AVENUE DU MONT-BLANC CH-1196 GLAND, SWITZERLAND

Tel: +41 22 364 91 11 Fax: +41 22 364 53 58

E-mail: infobox@wwfnet.org Website: www.panda.org

Let's leave our children a living planet

© 1986 WWF-World Wide Fund For Nature (formerly World Wildlife Fund) ® WWF Registered Trademark owner 6250(6.99)

#### **Inside Front Cover**

### Contents

Executive Summary	1
The Living Planet Index	2
Forest Ecosystems Index	
Freshwater Ecosystems Index	
Marine Ecosystems Index	
Map 1: Threatened plant species	3

Forest Ecosystems	4
Global trend	
Original and current forest cover by region	
Map 2: Loss of forest cover by country/territory	5
Freshwater Ecosystems	6
Freshwater species population trends	
Freshwater Ecosystems Index	
Declining amphibian populations	
Map 3: Freshwater species population trends	7
Marine Ecosystems	8
Marine species population trends	
Marine Ecosystems Index	
Coral bleaching events	
Map 4: Marine species population trends	9
Grain Consumption	10
GlobaÎ trend	
Consumption by region	
Map 5: Consumption by country/territory	11
Fish Consumption	12
Global trend	
Consumption by region	
Map 6: Consumption by country/territory	13
Wood Consumption	14
Global trend	
Consumption by region	
Map 7: Consumption by country/territory	15
	4.2
Carbon Dioxide Emissions	16
Global trend	
Emissions by region	4.5
Map 8: Emissions by country/territory	17
Fertilizer Use	18
Global trend	
Use by region	
Map 9: Use by country/territory	19
Cement Consumption	20
Global trend	
Consumption by region	
Map 10: Consumption by country/territory	21

Sources 26
Tables 27
Map 11: Countries and territories in the Living Planet Report 33
AUTHORS Jonathan Loh <sup>1</sup> Jørgen Randers <sup>1</sup> Alex MacGillivray <sup>2</sup> Val Kapos <sup>3</sup> Martin Jenkins <sup>3</sup> Brian Groombridge <sup>3</sup> Neil Cox <sup>3</sup> Ben Warren <sup>3</sup>
1. WWF INTERNATIONAL Avenue du Mont-Blanc CH-1196 Gland Switzerland Tel: +41 22 364 91 11 Fax: +41 22 364 53 58 E-mail: infobox@wwfnet.org Website: www.panda.org
2. NEW ECONOMICS FOUNDATION Cinnamon House 6-8 Cole Street London SE1 4YH UK Tel: +44 171 407 7447 Fax: +44 171 407 6473 Website: www.neweconomics. org
3. WORLD CONSERVATION MONITORING CENTRE 219 Huntington Road Cambridge CB3 0DL UK Tel: +44 1223 27 73 14 Fax: +44 1223 27 71 36 E-mail: info@wcmc.org.uk Website: www.wcmc.org.uk

22

Technical Notes

© WWF International, 1999

Printed on recycled paper (including 75% post-consumer waste) using vegetable-oil based inks. When disposing of this publication, please try to ensure that it is recycled.

A BANSON Production 3 Turville Street London E2 7HR, UK

Editor: Jonathan Loh

Picture researcher: Michèle Dépraz

Designed by: Price Watkins
Diagrams and maps: David Burles

Printed by: The KPC Group, UK

ISBN: 2-88085-233-1

#### Picture credits:

Front cover, from top to bottom (figure indicates use on other pages): WWF/VinJ. Toledo (10), John Maier/Still Pictures (20), Andre Bartschi/Still Pictures (4, 14), Norbert Wu/Still Pictures (8, 12), J. Frebet/Still Pictures (6), UNEP/Dave Richards/Topham(2), UNEP/Antonius Ablinger/Topham(16).

Published in 1999 by WWF–World Wide Fund For Nature (formerly World Wildlife Fund), Gland, Switzerland. Any reproduction in full or in part of this publication must mention the title and credit the above-mentioned publisher as the copyright owner.

## **Executive Summary (p1)**

The *Living Planet Report* is WWF's attempt to provide a quantitative answer to the question: how fast is nature disappearing from the Earth? As a secondary ambition, the report also describes how human pressures on the natural environment are changing over time, and how these effects vary between countries.

This is the second edition of the *Living Planet Report*. Like the 1998 report, this one includes the Living Planet Index (LPI), an indicator of the overall state of the Earth's natural ecosystems (pages 2–9). It also includes national and global data on human pressures on natural ecosystems arising from the consumption of natural resources and pollution (pages 10–21).

The LPI is an index which primarily measures abundance – the area of the world's forests and the populations of different marine and freshwater species. Thus it is essentially measuring natural wealth and, particularly, how this natural wealth has changed over time. The LPI declined by 30 per cent from 1970 to 1995 – implying that the world has lost 30 per cent of its natural wealth in the space of one generation.

One main reason behind the decline in the world's natural wealth is increasing human activity – higher economic activity and a larger population. The second part of the *Living* 

Planet Report looks at six causes of global environmental change. The first three relate to the consumption of renewable resources: grain and meat, fish and seafood, and wood and paper. These vital commodities are used directly by people for food, energy, or materials. The second three relate to impacts on the biosphere that are happening as a consequence of the consumption of food, energy, or materials: the use of artificial fertilizers, the emissions of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, and the consumption of cement. Globally, the consumption of resources and pollution of the natural environment are increasing, on average by around 2 per cent per year since 1970, although a reduction in the growth rate over the last decade may possibly be discerned. But, on the other hand, humanity may have already exceeded the sustainable level, for example in fish consumption and carbon dioxide emissions.

WWF is particularly worried about the loss of biodiversity implied by the decline in the LPI and the environmental degradation caused by consumption and pollution. WWF believes that it is important to try to reverse these negative trends. Recommendations on what governments, businesses, and consumers can do, included in the second part of this report, are based on WWF policy and aim to slow down and eventually halt the degradation of the world's natural environments.

The *Living Planet Report* makes use of the most reliable data available on the consumption of resources and pollution by 151 of the world's countries and territories. The LPI and other global statistics in this report are based on original research. WWF will continue to improve the data in the Living Planet Report, which appears annually.

# The Living Planet Index (pp2-3)

The Living Planet Index (LPI) is a measure of the natural wealth of the Earth's forest, freshwater, and marine environments. Figure 1 shows that the index fell by approximately 30 per cent between 1970 and 1995, at an average rate of around 1 per cent per year.

The LPI is an aggregate of three different indicators of the state of natural ecosystems. These are: the area of natural forest cover around the world (Figure 2a); populations of freshwater species around the world (Figure 2b); populations of marine species around the world (Figure 2c).

Natural forest cover, not including plantations, has been declining steadily since the 1960s; about 10 per cent of the cover was lost between 1970 and 1995. But the decline of natural forest cover probably masks a steeper, but unquantified, loss of biodiversity and forest quality, particularly in temperate forests. The freshwater component of the index shows an average decline of about 45 per cent from 1970 to 1995 in 102 freshwater species. The marine component of the LPI shows a similar average decline of about 35 per cent in 102 marine species over the same period.

These figures are slightly different from those in the *Living Planet Report* 1998 (although within the 95 per cent confidence interval) because the underlying data set has been

enlarged. However, they still need to be corroborated by further study and, especially, data on more species.

Many would see the rate at which species are going extinct as a more direct measure of the global loss of biodiversity. But nobody knows how many species are being lost each year, nor even the total number of species that exist. Biologists estimate that there are between 5 and 15 million species of plants, animals, and micro-organisms existing on the Earth today, of which only about 1.5 million have been described and named. The estimated total includes around 300,000 plant species, between 4 and 8 million insects, and about 50,000 vertebrate species (of which about 10,000 are birds and 4,000 are mammals).

To supplement the LPI's global perspective, Map 1 and Figure 3 show a measure of the current state of biodiversity at the national level, based on the percentage of each country's known plant species that are classified as globally vulnerable, endangered, or critically endangered in the 1997 IUCN Red List of Threatened Plants. The data of course dependstrongly on the thoroughness of the assessment. Map 1 shows that, to some extent, island countries tend to have higher percentages of threatened plants because of high levels of endemism, i.e. many of their species are found nowhere else in the world.

## Forest Ecosystems (pp4-5)

Between 1970 and 1995, the world's natural forest cover was reduced by some 10 per cent, from about 35 million square kilometres (km2) to around 32 million km2, a decrease of about 0.5 per cent per year (see Figure 4). This is equivalent to the loss every year of nearly 150,000 km2, an area larger than Bangladesh, Florida, or Greece. Today, about half the world's forests are found in Europe, North America, and the Russian Federation and half are found in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. While the forested area of the temperate northern regions has remained constant since 1970, or increased slightly, the forested area of Africa, Asia, and Latin America has decreased by about 20 per cent.

However, northern forests have suffered a less obvious decline in quality. Much temperate forest, especially in Europe and North America, is not original but replacement forest, either regrowth or plantation, which neither supports the same levels of biodiversity nor performs the same ecological functions as old-growth forest. Many forests are fragmented into areas too small to support populations of species that require large contiguous blocks of natural habitat (see Figure 5). However, some forest types, such as the tropical dry forests of Africa, are naturally more patchy than others, so fragmentation is not always a good indicator of forest quality.

#### **Original forest cover**

Forests were lost in all regions and most countries of the world (Figures 5 and 6) long before 1970. In Europe (excluding the Russian Federation) and Asia, almost 70 per cent of the once-forested land has been cleared, primarily for agriculture and grazing. Today, largely intact tracts of undisturbed forest remain only in the boreal zones of the Russian Federation and Canada and, in the tropics, in the Amazon and the Congo basins.

The data in Figures 5 and 6 include an estimate of original forest cover. This is the area of forest that would exist under current climatic conditions, if there was no human interference. It is equivalent, hypothetically, to the maximum extent of forest at a point in time after the last glaciation and before the subsequent spread of agriculture, or around 6,000–8,000 years ago. In total, only half of the world's original forest cover remains.

## Freshwater Ecosystems (pp6-7)

Unlike forest ecosystems, it is not possible to indicate biological trends in freshwater ecosystems such as lakes, rivers, and wetlands by measuring changes in area. The Freshwater Ecosystems Index (Figure 2b) is based on the population trends of 102 freshwater vertebrate species. The species in the sample include every mammal, bird, reptile, amphibian, and fish species for which time-series population data could be obtained. The index indicates that freshwater species have, on average, declined by about 45 per cent since 1970.

There is a bias in the available data towards North American and European species. Since the publication of the first *Living Planet Report* in 1998, the Freshwater Ecosystems Index has been updated to include amphibian species, which were previously absent. The addition of 33 species of frogs, toads, and salamanders, however, did not make a significant difference to the overall trend. Fish species are still under-represented, and the available data on fish largely concern commercial species.

Map 3 shows an illustrative selection of species from the freshwater index and their approximate location in the world. All the species are listed in the technical notes on page 22.

Figure 7 shows whether population trends were positive, negative, or stable in a larger sample of 281 freshwater vertebrate species, including the 102 in the index. Since the 1970s, most freshwater species have been in decline.

## Declining amphibian populations

Over the past decade, biologists have become alarmed by declines, including some extinctions, in a number of amphibian species all over the world. Many of the amphibian declines have been observed in national parks or nature reserves where their habitat is protected.

Numerous explanations of these declines have been proposed, such as water pollution and increased ultraviolet radiation, and evidence suggests that a number of forces are at work. For example, about 20 frog species in Australia, Panama, and the United States have been decimated by a disease caused by a previously unknown fungus, and the disappearance of the golden toad and other amphibians in Costa Rica has been attributed to climatic changes. Figure 8 shows an index of North American amphibian populations since 1975, based on available time-series data from the United States and Canada.

## Marine Ecosystems (pp8-9)

The Marine Ecosystems Index (Figure 2c) shows the average change in population of 102 species of marine fish, reptiles, birds, and mammals from all around the world. It has declined by about 35 per cent since 1970. Map 4 shows an illustrative selection of species population trends from the marine index. All the species are listed in the technical notes on page 22. Figure 9 shows the simpler positive or negative growth trends in a larger sample of 132 marine species, broken down into the percentages that were either declining, stable, or increasing in each decade since 1970.

The 102 species include every marine vertebrate species for which information on population over the last few decades could be found. Although most of the species in the index are fish, there is some over-representation of birds and mammals relative to their numbers in the world's oceans. Since the publication of the first *Living Planet Report* in 1998, more data have been incorporated on southern hemisphere and tropical fish stocks. However, little change resulted in the overall trend.

## Coral reef bleaching events

Since 1980, marine biologists have reported an increase in the number of coral bleaching events in the world's tropical oceans. Bleaching is a reaction of corals to many types of stress, most frequently a prolonged increase in sea temperature by 1°C or 2°C, which results in the loss of colour and photosynthesis. Recovery usually takes place within a few weeks, but in severe cases the coral dies.

About 100 major coral bleaching episodes were reported over the decade 1980–1989, compared with only 3 reported during the preceding 100 years. There have been around 180 more bleaching episodes during the period 1990–1998 (see Figure 10). One possible explanation of this dramatic increase is the rise in average sea surface temperatures that has occurred all around the world, part of the global warming trend. Another possible explanation is El Niño, a periodic warming of the eastern Pacific that normally occurs every five to seven years, but which has returned more frequently and strongly since 1980. The worst mass bleachings coincided with El Niño peaks.

The 1997–1998 bleaching event was the most severe yet. In the Indian Ocean, particularly on the reefs of the Chagos Archipelago, the Maldives, Sri Lanka, and Tanzania, and in the Arabian Gulf, there was near to 95 per cent mortality of shallow corals.

## Grain consumption (pp10-11)

Grains such as wheat and rice supply most of the world's dietary energy and protein. World grain consumption has more than doubled since 1960 (Figure 11), and the world average consumption per person has remained fairly constant at around 300 kilograms (kg) per person per year since the 1970s. However, about a third of the global grain harvest is fed to animals to produce meat and dairy products.

Grain production has kept up with the world's growing population thanks to increasing yields per hectare of land, but yields are no longer increasing in many developed

countries where additional inputs, such as fertilizer, have little effect on production. Moreover, agricultural land is being lost to urban development and soil degradation.

Consequently, uncultivated land is being cleared each year for growing crops or grazing animals, which is responsible for most deforestation in the tropics. The current harvest of about 330kg per person per year, distributed evenly, would be sufficient to provide a healthy diet for the world's population. However, the industrialized countries consume around 500kg grain equivalent per person per year, mainly as meat.

Figures 12 and 13 and Map 5 show the consumption of grain-equivalent in each country and region, calculated as the consumption of grain consumed directly by humans, plus the amount consumed indirectly as meat, plus the amount used as seed, processed, and wasted. The data are corrected for exports and imports of grain and meat.

WWF recommendations to reduce pressure on ecosystems from grain and meat consumption:

• Protect soil from erosion and degradation caused by overgrazing or salinization. • Preserve existing croplands for agriculture, rather than urban and industrial development, road building, or non-essential crops such as tobacco. • Increase water-use efficiency of irrigated cropland to cut water losses and expand the area under irrigation, especially in Africa and Latin America. • Reduce dependence on pesticides and increase the use of biological control and pest-resistant varieties. • Cut meat and dairy product consumption, especially in Europe and North America.

# Fish Consumption (pp12-13)

Fish was traditionally a cheap source of protein for millions living in the coastal regions of the world, but is increasingly becoming a luxury. Many fish stocks are in decline (see Map 4), especially in the North Atlantic. The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimates that 60 per cent of the world's fisheries are exploited to the limit or overfished.

The total marine and inland fish catch reached a record level of about 95 million tonnes in 1996. In addition, fish farms supplied approximately 25 million tonnes, mainly from China and other Asian countries. Of the total, over a quarter was fed to animals as fishmeal or oil.

Although global fish consumption has tripled since 1960, consumption per person has remained at 15–17kg per year since the 1970s. The FAO estimates that the world's oceans can sustain a harvest of 82–100 million tonnes of fish a year. As the world's population grows, maintaining the current per capita level of fish consumption will rely increasingly on aquaculture. Aquaculture, as practised today, is often unsustainable. Raising 1kg of shrimp or salmon in a fish farm requires about 5kg of feed in the form of fishmeal. There is also the ecological impact of the fish farm itself. Thailand has lost half its mangrove forests to shrimp ponds.

The consumption data used in Figures 15 and 16 and Map 6 include freshwater fish and marine fish, crustaceans, and cephalopods, but not processed products such as fishmeal. Farmed fish and seafood are included, but bycatch is not as this is difficult to attribute to a consumer country. The data are corrected for exports and imports.

WWF recommendations to reduce pressure on fisheries:

• Reduce fisheries bycatch – the incidental killing of unwanted fish and other marine wildlife that accounts for more than a quarter of the world catch. • Eliminate destructive fishing practices, such as cyanide and blast fishing on coral reefs. • Cut the tens of billions of dollars in government subsidies that contribute to overfishing. • Promote market incentives for sustainable fishing, such as the Marine Stewardship Council, an initiative to label seafood from independently certified, well-managed sources. • Designate no-fishing zones to safeguard marine ecosystems and to give depleted fish populations a chance to recover.

## Wood Consumption (pp14-15)

World wood consumption has increased by two-thirds since 1960 (Figure 17). In 1996, consumption of fuelwood, industrial roundwood, woodpulp, and paper globally was about 3.4 billion m3 per year. Unlike the consumption of grain and fish, wood consumption per person has decreased slightly over the last five to ten years from about 0.65 m3 per year to about 0.58 m3 per year, partly due to the more efficient use of wood and greater recycling of paper. Fuelwood consumption per person has remained almost constant at about 0.33 m3 per year.

Slightly more than half the world'sannual use of wood is for fuel. Wood is the most important energy source for 2 billion people who have limited access to commercial energy supplies such as electricity. Africa, therefore, has a relatively high per capita consumption of wood (Figure 18).

The world's forests are currently diminishing in area and biological quality, partly because of the high consumption of wood and paper. Even so, the world's forests, if managed well, could provide more than enough wood to cover current use. On the basis of the world's current forest cover and its potential sustainable yield, and setting aside 10 per cent of each forest type for protection, total annual yield could be 4 billion m3, or 0.67 m3 per person per year.

Figures 18 and 19 and Map 7 are calculated in cubic metres from data on national production, imports and exports of wood and wood products. Pulp and paper consumption, which is measured in tonnes, has been converted back into cubic metres of wood raw material equivalent.

WWF recommendations to reduce pressure on forests:

• Establish a network of ecologically representative protected areas covering at least 10 per cent of each forest type. • Ensure forests outside protected areas are well managed according to standards set by the Forest Stewardship Council. • Develop ecologically and socially appropriate forest restoration programmes. • Reduce forest damage from

pollution and climate change. • Use forest goods and services within the regenerative capacity of the forest estate and eliminate the wasteful consumption of wood.

## Carbon dioxide emissions (pp16-17)

Human activities – primarily fossil fuel combustion – have increased the concentration of carbon dioxide (CO2) in the atmosphere by over a quarter since the industrial revolution, from about 280 parts per million (ppm) to about 360 ppm. This concentration of CO2 is greater than any in the last 160,000 years and is the major cause of global climate change. Fossil fuels – coal, oil, and natural gas – provide about 90 per cent of the world's commercial energy used for electricity generation, transport, industry, and in homes and businesses.

Global CO2 emissions more than doubled between 1960 and 1996, from less than 10 billion tonnes per year to almost 23 billion tonnes per year (Figure 20). This does not include the emissions from deforestation, which add between 2 billion and 10 billion tonnes per year.

To stabilize the atmospheric concentration, global CO2 emissions would have to be cut to about 10 billion tonnes per year, which is within the capacity of the oceans and terrestrial ecosystems, especially forests, to remove CO2 from the atmosphere.

Figures 21 and 22 and Map 8 show that, on average, each person in the world emits about 4 tonnes of CO2 per year. Per person CO2 emissions in North America are over 19 tonnes per person per year, five times the world average and almost ten times the developing world average.

WWF recommendations to reduce energy consumption and CO2 emissions:

• Increase the use of energy-saving technologies and eliminate wasteful energy consumption in transport, industry, and the home. • Increase the supply of energy from sources which reduce or eliminate pollution, especially renewable sources such as solar and wind. • Assist developing countries to invest in sustainable energy technologies as they industrialize. • Increase energy prices to cover the full environmental costs of energy use, and remove government subsidies on energy. • Stop deforestation and promote reforestation of deforested areas in an ecologically and socially appropriate manner.

## Fertilizer Use (pp18-19)

The world's use of chemical fertilizers has increased fivefold since the 1960s (Figure 23), particularly the use of inorganic nitrogenous fertilizer which has leapt from about 12 million tonnes to more than 80 million tonnes per year. Thanks in part to this growth, global food production has kept pace with human population over the same period. But the human fixation of nitrogen, primarily for fertilizer manufacture but also as a result of burning fossil fuel (which adds an additional 25 or more million tonnes per year) and the cultivation of nitrogen-fixing legumes such as beans, now exceeds the rate of nitrogen fixation by natural processes.

Since the 1980s, in developed countries, ever higher rates of fertilizer application have ceased to improve crop yields. Above a certain threshold, additional nutrients have little effect on productivity. Nutrients that are not taken up by crops are either washed out of the soil by rain, into streams, rivers, or underground aquifers, or volatilize into the atmosphere.

Nitrogen and phosphorus are critical plant nutrients and their release into natural ecosystems alters the growth and composition of species. While plant growth and biomass increase with nutrient availability, the diversity or richness of species is generally reduced, sometimes dramatically. In extreme cases, such as when agricultural run-off pollutes a lake, nutrient-limited algae may proliferate to the exclusion of all other life. Rivers also flush excess nutrients out to sea, periodically causing algal blooms such as the toxic red tides that occur in the Adriatic and North Seas.

Western Europe is the region with the most intensive fertilizer use (see Figure 24), and the worst affected by excess nutrient pollution, although fertilizer use has diminished slightly since the mid-1980s. Most of the recent growth in fertilizer use has been in Asia, especially in China and the Indian subcontinent, and is likely to continue as long as there is potential for increasing yields. Figure 25 shows the use of fertilizer per hectare of agricultural land, including grazing land. The data do not include the use of animal manure as fertilizer.

# Cement Consumption (pp20-21)

Only around one quarter of the Earth's habitable surface is undisturbed. Over one third is human dominated. The most complete alteration of natural habitat occurs through urbanization – the construction of buildings, roads, or other infrastructure for human purposes.

Since cement is used for much of this construction work, national cement consumption is an indicator, albeit indirect, of the rate at which forest, agricultural land, or natural habitat is lost each year to urban expansion or infrastructure development. World cement consumption has increased almost fivefold since 1960 (Figure 26), and the consumption per capita in OECD countries is more than double that of non-OECD countries (Figure 27).

Figure 28 and Map 10 show that world average cement consumption per person in 1996 was about 260kg per year, with many rapidly developing or industrializing countries well above that average.

It should be noted that in a number of countries/territories with very high cement consumption, much of the construction goes upwards rather than along the ground because of the scarcity of available land, and does not therefore represent an indicator of land-use change. For the relevant cement consumption data see the technical note on page 25.

WWF recommendations to reduce land consumption and control urbanization:
 Use industrial and residential zoning and greenbelt controls to regenerate inner cities and reduce urban sprawl.
 Promote compact and car-free cities to minimize transport and energy demandas well as land take.
 Integrate transport and land-use planning and promote transport by rail or water rather than road.
 Protect ecologically sensitive areas near human settlements and mining operations.

## Technical Notes (pp22-25)

BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEMS (pages 2–9)

Page 2 The Living Planet Index

Figures 1 and 2. The LPI is generated by averaging three separate indices for the forest, freshwater, and marine biomes. Each is set at 100 in 1970 and given an equal weighting.

The forest index is based on the change in the area of natural forest cover, corrected for plantations, worldwide from 1970 to 1995 (see below, under Figure 4). The freshwater and marine indices are based on the changes in populations of samples of freshwater and marine vertebrate species worldwide from 1970 to 1995 (see Figures 2b and 2c below). The samples include over 100 freshwater and over 100 marine species, which represent all the species for which population statistics from more than one point in time could be found.

There are of course limitations to these indices. First, forest area is not directly proportional to forest biodiversity, and there is an underlying decline in forest quality in many regions that is not reflected in the change in area of forest cover, which in many countries is increasing. Some indicators of forest quality have been proposed, but agreement has yet to be reached on what the best measures should be.

Second, it is difficult to ensure the representativeness of the freshwater and marine indices because the number of species in each sample compared with the total numbers of freshwater and marine species is relatively small. In both samples there is some bias towards birds and mammals, while reptiles, amphibians, and fishes are underrepresented, reflecting the level of knowledge of these groups.

Statistics on the number of eukaryotic species in the world come from May (1998) and the World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC 1992). This does not include prokaryotic species, such as bacteria.

Figure 3 and Map 1. The number of threatened plant species recorded for each country, and the percentage this represents of their national flora, are given in Figure 3. The number of threatened plant species refers to the number that are globally vulnerable, endangered, or critically endangered (IUCN 1997), and not necessarily the number of species that are threatened within each country: a species may be highly threatened in one country but in a lower risk category globally.

A relatively high figure of threatened species for a particular country may only reflect the effort that has been put into gathering data in that country, while a low figure for another country may reflect that similar research efforts have not yet been undertaken there. Countries with high levels of endemism, particularly islands, show high percentages of threatened species partly because the IUCN Red List of Threatened Plants, unlike the corresponding animal list, treats all rare plants as threatened.

## Page 4 Forest Ecosystems

Figure 4. Data for 1990 are WCMC figures for current forest area for each region. The current forest cover data are based on WCMC's holdings of spatial data. These come from a variety of national and international sources, including remote sensing, and a variety of dates. Forest cover is defined as closed forest, which in general refers to canopy cover of more than 30 per cent. Plantation area has been deducted to give an estimate of natural forest area. Plantation areas in all tropical regions as well as in Argentina, Australia, Canada, Chile, China, Japan, Morocco, New Zealand, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, the United States, Uruguay, and the former USSR were obtained from FAO sources. For Europe, the area of forest stands less than 30 years old was calculated for 21 countries from Kuusela (1994), as a proxy for plantations. This gives a minimumestimate, as many plantations are more than 30 years old. It is assumed that the average proportion of plantation to total forest area for these countries, 31 per cent, was representative of the whole of Europe. The estimated area of plantation in Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Estonia, Georgia, Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, and Ukraine was subtracted from the former USSR's total plantation area, and the remainder was attributed to the Russian Federation.

Time series data were generated by projecting deforestation rates back and forward from 1990. For Africa, Asia/Pacific, and Latin America and the Caribbean, deforestation rates for 1980–1990 and 1990–1995 are from the FAO Forest Resources Assessment (1995) and The State of the World's Forests (FAO 1997). For 1960–1970 and 1970–1980, deforestation rates from Singh and Marzoli (1995) have been applied to each region. The latter only applied to the tropical parts of these regions. For Europe, changes are taken from the Dobris Assessment (European Commission 1995) which has figures for changes in forest extent for 29 European countries, including Eastern Europe, for the decades from 1960–1990. The total forest area summed over these countries is assumed to be representative of Europe as a whole. Figures for changes in Australasia and North America are from FAO (1995). Data are missing for forest changes in North America before 1980 so it is assumed that no overall change has taken place. It is also assumed that there was no change in forest area in the Russian Federation from 1980 to 1990: FAO (1995) notes that this is the safest assumption, as data from different sources conflict.

Figures 5 and 6 and Map 2. Original and current forest cover were compiled by WCMC from a variety of national and international source maps. Original forest cover was compiled from six potential vegetation data sets which, between them, cover the globe. Forest fragmentation was evaluated using geographic information systems (GIS) analysis of large regional sections from the global current forest cover data set, without dividing

forest cover into different types. Neither national boundaries nor rivers less than 0.5 km wide are considered to disrupt patches of forest. Europe's forests are the most fragmented, with about a quarter of the total, including plantations, in blocks of less than 500 km2. Around 14 per cent of Asia's forests are fragmented into areas smaller than 500 km2, whereas in the Russian Federation and North America the figure is less than 10 per cent. Although it is possible to see a significant reduction in the area of forest, the figures underestimate the true magnitude of impacts on forest biodiversity because much of the current forest cover has regrown or been planted and is therefore generally of lower biological quality.

## Page 6 Freshwater Ecosystems

Figure 7. For 281 freshwater species it was possible to locate qualitative information on population trends and estimate whether numbers were decreasing, stable, or increasing. The species in the sample comprise 19 mammals – desmans, manatees, otters, and river dolphins; 92 birds – cranes, ducks, geese and swans, flamingos, grebes, herons, ibises and spoonbills, rails, skimmers and dippers, stilts and avocets, and storks; 72 reptiles – crocodilians and turtles; 54 amphibians – true frogs, true toads, tree frogs, tropical frogs, narrowmouthand spadefoot toads, mole salamanders, lungless salamanders, and newts; and 44 fishes – grayling, lampreys, paddlefish, and sturgeons.

The total number of species in each category for each time period is used to demonstrate the general status of global freshwater biodiversity at that time. The status for each time period is an indication of any changes in the general status of global freshwater ecosystems from the 1970s through to the present.

Figure 2b and Map 3. The Freshwater Ecosystems Index is calculated using quantitative population data from more than one point in time for 102 species, which represent all freshwater species for which time series data could be found. These data points were used to estimate trends in population for each species (it was assumed that the change in population between two points in time was linear). The populations of each species were then estimated for the beginning and mid-points of each decade 1970–1979, 1980–1989, and 1990–1999 or, for species where the population data do not span the entire period, for as many of those points as the data would allow without extrapolating more than two years. For species with annual population data, three-year running averages were calculated at each end and mid-decadal point (e.g. the population in 1980 was taken as the average of 1979–1981).

Populations of each species at each five-year point were logged to give equal weighting to large and small populations and to upward and downward changes in population. For the populations spanning each five-year interval, the geometric means at the beginning and end of each interval were compared to generate the index, which is set to 100 in 1970. The Freshwater Ecosystems Index represents the changes from 1970 to 1995 in a population that is typical of the sample. Map 3 shows the approximate locations of a selection of the species used to construct the index. The 102 species in the index are:

Insectivores Desmana moschata

River dolphins Lipotes vexillifer Platanista gangetica Platanista minor

## **BIRDS**

Cranes Grus americana Grus japonensis Grus monachus Grus vipio

Ducks, geese, swans Anas acuta Anas americana Anas clypeata Anas crecca

Anas discors

Anas formosa

Anas platyrhynchos

Anas querquedula

Anas strepera

Anas superciliosa

Anas wyvilliana

Aythya marila

Aythya americana

Aythya nyroca

Aythya valisineria

Cygnusolor

Marmaronetta angustirostris

Mergus albellus

Mergus merganser

Netta rufina

**Nettapus auritus** 

Tadorna ferruginea

Flamingos

Phoeniconaias minor

Phoenicopterus andinus

Phoenicopterus chilensis

Phoenicopterus jamesi

Phoenicopterus ruber

### Grebes

# Podiceps taczanowskii Tachybaptus rufolavatus

Herons Ardea cinerea Ardea cocoi Ardea purpurea Ardeola ralloides Botaurus stellaris Ixobrychus minutus Nycticorax nyticorax

Ibises and spoonbills Eudocimus ruber Platalea leucorodia Platalea minor Plegadis falcinellus

Rails and gallinules Fulica cristata Porphyrio porphyrio

Stilts and avocets Himantopus novaezelandiae

Storks Ciconia ciconia Ciconia nigra

### **REPTILES**

Crocodiles and alligators Alligator sinensis Crocodylus acutus Crocodylus mindorensis Crocodylus palustris Crocodylus porosus

Gharial
Gavialis gangeticus

Turtles Batagur baska Callagur borneoensis

**AMPHIBIANS** 

True toads Bufo bufo Bufo calamita Bufo canorus Bufo terrestris

Tree frogs Hyla versicolor Pseudacris crucifer Pseudacris nigrita Pseudacris ornata Pseudacris regilla

Narrowmouth toads Gastrophryne carolinensis

Spadefoot toads Scaphiopus holbrooki

True frogs
Rana catesbeiana
Rana clamitans
Rana dalmatina
Rana septentrionalis
Rana sylvatica
Rana temporaria
Rana utricularia

Mole salamanders Ambystoma maculatum Ambystoma opacum Ambystoma talpoideum Ambystoma tigrinum tigrinum

Lungless salamanders
Aneides hardii
Desmognathus aeneus
Desmognathus monticola
Desmognathus ochrophaeus
Desmognathus quadramaculatus
Eurycia quadridigitata
Plethodon cinereus
Plethodon glutinosus
Plethodonjordoni
Newts
Notophthalmus viridescens
Triturus helviticus

#### **FISHES**

Paddlefish Polyodon spathula

Sturgeons
Acipenser baerii
Acipenser gueldenstaedtii
Acipenser naccarii
Accipenser sinensis
Acipenser stellatus
Acipenser transmontanus
Amur River Fishery-China
Amur River Fishery-Russia
Huso huso

Figure 8. The North American Amphibian Population Index was generated in the same way as the Freshwater Ecosystems Index, based on 33 species of North American frogs, toads, and salamanders. All amphibian population data come from the US Geological Survey amphibian count database, which represents the largest available data set on amphibian population declines. It should be noted that the amphibian data in both the Freshwater Ecosystems Index and the North American Amphibian Population Index do not represent entire species but relatively localized populations, but these are the best data that could be found documenting amphibian trends.

# Page 8 Marine Ecosystems

Figure 9. It was possible to locate qualitative information on population trends for 132 marine vertebrate species and estimate whether their numbers were decreasing, stable, or increasing. The total number of species in each category for each time period was used to demonstrate the general status of global marine biodiversity at that time. The species in the sample consist of 36 mammals – sea otters, seacows, and manatees, seals, fur seals, and sea lions, baleen whales, toothed whales, and dolphins; 40 birds – albatrosses, gannets and boobies, and penguins; 7 reptiles – marine turtles; and 45 fishes – cods, flatfish, herrings, sole, seaperch and redfish, tuna and mackerel, and other marine fish; and 4 invertebrates – gastropods and crustaceans.

Figure 2c and Map 4. Marine Ecosystems Index. Quantitative population data from more than one point in time were available for 102 out of the 132 species. These data points were used to calculate the Marine Ecosystems Index, using the same methodology as the Freshwater Ecosystems Index. As with the freshwater ecosystems, the Marine Ecosystems Index represents the changes from 1970 to 1995 of a hypothetical population that is typical of the sample as a whole. The approximate locations of a selection of the populations used are shown in Map 4. The 102 species are:

**MAMMALS** 

Sea otter

# Enhydra lutris

Fur seals
Arctocephalus australis
Arctocephalus forsteri
Arctocephalus galapagoensis
Arctocephalus philippi
Arctocephalus pusillus
Arctocephalus townsendi
Arctocephalus tropicalis
Callorhinus ursinus

Monk seals Monachus monachus Monachus schauinslandi

Sea lions Eumetopias jubatus Neophoca cinerea Otaria byronia Phocarctos hookeri Zalophus californianus

Baleen whales
Balaena mysticetus
Balaenoptera borealis
Balaenoptera edeni
Balaenoptera musculus
Balaenoptera physalus
Eschrichtius robustus
Megaptera novaeangliae

Toothed whale Delphinapterus leucas

Manatee Trichechus manatus

### **BIRDS**

Albatrosses
Diomedea albatrus
Diomedea amsterdamensis
Diomedea cauta
Diomedea chlororhynchos
Diomedea exulans
Diomedea immutabilis
Diomedea irrorata

# Diomedea melanophris

Gannets and boobies Sula abbotti Sula bassana Sula capensis Sula dactylatra Sula sula Sula variegata

Penguins
Aptenodytes forsteri
Aptenodytes patagonicus
Eudyptes pachyrhynchus
Eudyptes robustus
Megadytes antipodes
Pygoscelis adeliae
Pygoscelis papua
Spheniscus demersus
Spheniscus humboldti
Spheniscus mendiculus

# **REPTILES**

Turtles Caretta caretta Chelonia mydas Dermochelys coriacea Lepidochelys kempii Lepidochelys olivacea

### **FISHES**

Cods
Gadus macrocephalus
Gadus morhua
Melanogrammus aeglefinus
Merlangius merlangus
Merluccius productus
Micromesistius australis
Pollachius virens
Theragra chalcogramma
Trisopterus esmarkii

Flatfish Atheresthes stomias Hippoglossoides ellassodon Hippoglossoides platessoides Lepidopsetta bilineata Limanda aspera Pleuronectes ferrugineus Pleuronectes platessa Pleuronectes quadrituberculatus Pseudopleuronectes americanus Reinhardtius hippoglossoides Solea solea

Herrings Brevooria patronus Clupea harengus Clupea pallasi Sprattus sprattus

Seaperch and redfish Sebastes alutus Sebastes mentella Sebastolobus alascanus

Tunas and mackerels
Scomber japonicus
Scomber scombrus
Scomberomorus cavalla
Thunnus alalunga
Thunnus albacares
Thunnus obesus
Thunnus maccoyii
Thunnus thynnus

Other marine fishes
Anoplopoma fimbria
Argyrosomus argentatus
Chrysophrys major
Harpodonnehereus
Lepidonotothen squamifrons
Mallotus villosus
Pagrus auratus
Pleurogrammus monopterygius
Urophycis tenuis

### **INVERTEBRATES**

Gastropods Haliotis laevigata

Crustaceans Penaeus esculentus Penaeus orientalis Portunus trituberculatus

Figure 10. Statistics on the number of coral reef bleaching events occurring each year were taken from Glynn (1996), Hopley (1997), Wilkinson (1998), and Goreau. The data were split up by ocean, and the highest number of events recorded by one or more of the sources was plotted on the chart.

## CONSUMPTION AND POLLUTION (PAGES 10-21)

Population: Countries with populations of less than 1 million in 1996 were not included in the analysis: 77 countries or territories, with a combined population of about 17 million people, were therefore excluded. Luxembourg was aggregated with Belgium. Regional information was based on data for regional subsets of the 151 countries, while global information includes all countries of the world. (For details of the regions, see map inside the back cover.) National population statistics were from the United Nations Population Division (1998), median estimate.

Total national consumption was divided by the country's population to give national per capita consumption. Regional consumption per person was calculated as a region's total consumption, divided by the region's population. Global per capita consumption was calculated by dividing the world's total consumption by the world's total population.

Page 10 Grain Consumption

Figure 11. Grain consumption time series data are from FAOSTAT.

Figures 12 and 13 and Map 5. All grain and meat consumption data come from the FAOSTAT database, except Taiwan data which comes from the Council of Agriculture (COA 1996). Grain-equivalent consumption is calculated as the consumption of grain, plus its indirect consumption in the form of meat, plus seed, processed, and waste grain. All imports and exports of both grain and meat are taken into account. The following conversion factors were used to convert from meat into grain consumption (kg of grain per kg of meat): beef and veal 5.0; pig meat 3.5; mutton and goat 1.8; poultry 2.25.

Page 12 Fish consumption

Figure 14. Fish consumption time series data are from FAOSTAT.

Figures 15 and 16 and Map 6. The statistics on fish consumption come from the FAOSTAT database, except Taiwan data which come from COA (1996). Consumption includes freshwater fish, marine fish, crustaceans, cephalopods and other molluscs. Fish meal, oil, and other products are not included. All imports and exports are taken into account. Discarded bycatch is not included as this is difficult to attribute to a consumer country. Norwegian fishing boats are required to land their bycatch, which therefore contributes to Norway's fish consumption.

## Page 14 Wood Consumption

Figure 17. Wood production time series data are from FAOSTAT.

Figures 18 and 19 and Map 7. Wood consumption was calculated using data from the FAOSTAT database of national production, imports and exports of wood and wood products. Taiwan data come from COA (1996). Total national consumption was calculated as roundwood production, plus imports minus exports, plus imports of wood-derived products minus corresponding exports. The wood-derived products include fuelwood and charcoal, sawnwood, wood-based panels, and pulp and paper. Wood used for fuel or timber is measured in m3. Pulp and paper consumption, which is measured in tonnes, has been converted back into m3 of wood raw material equivalent (wrme). Conversion factors vary for each product from paper mill to paper mill and from country to country but, for reasons of practicality, a general set of factors was used to convert different types of pulp and paper into wrme (in m3 wrme per tonne): mechanical pulp 2.5; chemical pulp 5.0; semi-chemical pulp 2.75; dissolving pulp 2.5; newsprint 2.8; writing and printing paper 3.5; other paper and paperboard 2.5. Sawnwood, wood-based panels, and fibreboard have not been converted into wrme as the wood-waste generated in their production is usually used elsewhere in the industry for fibre, pulp, or energy.

## Page 16 Carbon Dioxide Emissions

Figure 20. Time series data for 1960–1976 are from Marland and Boden (1999) and for 1977–1996 from the International Energy Agency (IEA 1998).

Figures 21 and 22 and Map 8. The national and regional emissions per person were calculated as the total emissions arising from the combustion of fossil fuels (coal, oil, and natural gas) given in IEA (1998), divided by population. A large number of countries are not listed separately by this source, but they are included in the regional totals.

### Page 18 Fertilizer Use

Figure 23. Fertilizer use time series data are from FAOSTAT. Data are for inorganic nitrogenous and phosphate fertilizers only. Animal manure is not included.

Figures 24 and 25 and Map 9. Inorganic nitrogenous and phosphate fertilizer use data come from the FAOSTAT database. Countries and regions were compared in terms of fertilizer use intensity, not fertilizer use per capita. Fertilizer intensity is the consumption per hectare of agricultural land, including arable and permanent crop land and pasture. Pasture was included as many countries fertilize pastures for grazing. However, some countries such as Australia, Argentina, Brazil, Kazakhstan, and the United States have vast areas of grazing land which are not fertilized. Singapore was excluded from this calculation as it has a negligible area of agricultural land.

#### Page 20 Cement Consumption

Figure 26. Global cement consumption time series data are from Marland and Boden (1999). Data for major countries and regions 1990–1995 are from the Centre for Concrete Information (1997). Data for major countries and regions 1996, see Figure 28 below.

Figures 27 and 28 and Map 10. National data on cement consumption were calculated from US Geological Survey data on production (van Oss n.d.), plus imports minus exports from the UN commodity trade statistics database (COMTRADE). Total consumption was divided by population to give per capita consumption. Countries/territories with less than 5,000 km2 of agricultural and forest land were excluded from the main analysis. However, the relevant per capita consumption data for those countries/territories are as follows (kilograms per person per year):

Hong Kong (China)	942
Israel	1 051
Jordan	565
Kuwait	2 980
Lebanon	1 450
Mauritius	535
Oman	786
Singapore	2 566
Trinidad and Tobago	225
United Arab Emirates	2 647

# Sources and further reading (p 26)

Bohn, U. and Katenina, G.D. (1994)

*Map of Natural Vegetation*. Scale 1:2,500,000. Komarov Botanical Institute, St Petersburg.

Carnahan, J.A. (n.d.)

Australia – Natural Vegetation. Australian Surveying and Land Information Group, Department of Administrative Services. 1:5 million scale.

Centre for Concrete Information (1997)

The Global Cement Report. Trade Ship Publications Ltd, Dorking.

Council of Agriculture (COA) (1996)

Basic Agricultural Statistics. Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan, Taipei.

Dinerstein, E., Olson, D.M., Graham, D.J., Webster, A.L., Primm, S.A., Bookbinder, M.P., and Ledec, G. (1995)

A Conservation Assessment of the Terrestrial Ecoregions of Latin America and the Caribbean. Published in association with the World Wildlife Fund. The World Bank, Washington, DC.

European Commission (1995)

*Europe's Environment: Statistical Compendium to the Dobris Assessment.* Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO) (1995)

Forest Resources Assessment 1990; Global Synthesis. FAO Forestry Paper 124. FAO, Rome.

FAO (1997)

The State of the World's Forests 1997. FAO, Rome.

FAO (1997b)

The State of the World's Fisheries and Aquaculture 1996. FAO Fisheries Department, FAO, Rome.

**FAOSTAT** 

FAO online statistical database. Website: apps.fao.org/default.htm

Gaston, K.J. (ed.) (1996)

Biodiversity: A Biology of Numbers and Difference. Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford.

Glynn, P.W. (1996)

Coral reef bleaching: facts, hypothesis and implications. Global Change Biology, Vol. 2, No. 6.

Goreau, T.J.

Coral Bleaching and Sea Surface Temperature. Website: www.fas.harvard.edu/~goreau/bleaching.htm

Hannah, L., Lohse, D., Hutchinson, C., Carr, J.L., and Lankerani, L. (1994) A preliminary inventory of human disturbance of world ecosystems. *Ambio*, Vol. 23, No. 4–5.

Hopley, D. (1997)

Coral Reefs and Global Climate Change. WWF International, Gland, Switzerland.

International Energy Agency (IEA) (1998)

CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion 1971–1996. OECD/IEA, Paris.

IPCC (1995)

Climate Change 1994. Radiative Forcing of Climate Change and An Evaluation of Emission Scenarios. Houghton, J.T. et al. (eds) Cambridge University Press.

IUCN (1996)

1996 IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. Website: www.wcmc.org.uk/species/animals/

IUCN (1997)

1997 IUCN Red List of Threatened Plants. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. Website: www.wcmc.org.uk/species/plants/red\_list.htm

Kuusela, K. (1994)

Forest Resources in Europe, 1950-1990. European Forest Research Institute Research Report 1. Cambridge University Press.

Marland, G. and Boden, T.A. (1999)

Global, regional and national CO2 emissions. *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Website: cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/trends.htm

May, R. (1998)

The Future of Wildlife in a Crowded World. Peter Scott Lecture, 1998.

Milanova, E.V. and Kushlin, A.V. (eds) (1993)

World Map of Present-Day Landscapes. An Explanatory Note. Prepared by Moscow State University and the United Nations Environment Programme.

MacKinnon, J. unpublished (1996)

Review of the Indomalayan Protected Areas. Asian Bureau for Conservation, UK.

van Oss, H.G. (n.d.)

*USGS Minerals Information 1996. Cement.* United States Geological Survey. Website: minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/cement/

Singh, K.D. and Marzoli, A. (1995)

Deforestation Trends in the Tropics: a Time Series Analysis. Paper presented at WWF Conference on the Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Tropical Forests, Puerto Rico, April 1995.

United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database (COMTRADE)

United Nations Population Division (1998)

Annual Populations, 1950-2050 (The 1998 Revision). Dataset on diskette. United Nations, Department of Social and Economic Affairs, New York, USA.

USGS Amphibian Count Database

Online database. Website: www.mp2-pwrc.usgs.gov/ampCV/ampdb.cfm

Vitousek, P. M. (1994)

Beyond global warming: ecology and global change. *Ecology*, 75(7), pp. 1861–1876.

White, F. (1983)

The Vegetation of Africa. UNESCO, Paris.

Wilkinson, C. (1998)

Status of Coral Reefs of the World: 1998. Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network/Australian Institute of Marine Science, Cape Ferguson, Queensland, and Dampier, Western Australia.

# World Bank (1998)

World Bank Atlas 1998. The World Bank, Washington, DC.

## World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC) (1992)

Global Biodiversity: Status of the Earth's Living Resources. Chapman and Hall, London.

## Worldwatch Institute (1997)

Vital Signs 1997–1998. Earthscan Publications Ltd, London.

### Worldwatch Institute (1999)

State of the World 1999. WW Norton and Company, New York, USA.

# Living Planet Index tables (p 28-31)

Table 1: WWF Living Planet Index: 1970-1995

Year	1970	1975	1980	1985	1990	1995
Living Planet Index upper confidence limit lower confidence limit Forest Index Freshwater Index upper confidence limit lower confidence limit Marine Index	100.0 100.0 100.0	95.9 112.8 81.5 97.8 98.1 114.7 83.8 91.8	89.2 102.3 77.8 95.5 86.6 102.9 72.8 85.4	84.5 100.3 71.3 93.2 83.6 101.7 68.7 76.8	75.5 87.5 65.1 90.9 66.6 76.9 57.6 69.0	69.3 80.7 59.5 89.6 55.4 66.5 46.1 62.9
upper confidence limit lower confidence limit		108.8 77.6	94.4 77.2	88.7 66.5	80.3 59.3	71.1 55.6

Table 2: Natural Forest Cover (million km2)

Original	E	xcludin	ig plant	ations	Including plantations							
Year	1960				1980			1995	1990			
							Intact	F	Fragments			
									:500 ka	m2		
Africa												
13.76	5.91	5.72	5.53	5.32	5.11	4.90	4.69	4.52	3.86	0.88		
Asia/Pacific												
15.49	5.30	5.07	4.83	4.59	4.36	4.14	3.92	3.77	4.11	0.66		
Latin America	and the	Caribbe	ean									
11.84	9.69	9.36	9.03	8.68	8.32	7.93	7.55	7.32	7.34	0.32		
North America												

	9.60	6.70	6.70	6.70	6.70	6.70	6.69	6.67	6.73	6.52	0.44
Europe	e(excl. I	Russian	Federat	tion)							
-	5.19	1.14	1.17	1.20	1.22	1.24	1.24	1.25	1.28	1.34	0.47
Russian	n Federa	ation									
	12.05	7.98	7.98	7.98	7.98	7.98	7.98	7.98	7.98	7.62	0.53
Total	67.92	36.72	36.01	35.29	34.50	33.71	32.89	32.07	31.61	30.13	3.97

#### NOTE:

The figures given in Table 2 and in Figures 4 and 5 are based on higher resolution data than those in Table 3 overleaf, which were derived from forest cover data generalized to 1 kilometre resolution. Regional totals may therefore differ between the two data sets. Generalization of mapped data causes the size of some small patches to be exaggerated while the smallest patches may disappear completely from the analysis. Therefore, the discrepancies are likely to be greatest where forest cover is fragmented or otherwise discontinuous.

Table 3: National and Regional data, 1996

Popu Threa Ceme		ultural Forest per Grain-				cover Threatened -CO2 Fertilizers per				
person equiv	land	curren emissi	torigina	al hectare			persor	n equiva	lent	per
personequiv		and	(thous				specie	sspecie	S	
(thousand p		per per km2)	rson	km2)	per person (number) land (kg/yr)		ner nerson		agricu	ltural (kg/yr)
World	5 744.	9	49 101	34 127	67 693	I.D.	I.D.	5.02	326	
14.3	0.58	3.96	23.2	258						
Africa 710.0 0.98	10 736 3.1	5 4 944 101	13 582	I.D.	I.D.	0.66	219		7.0	0.82
Algeria	28.7	396	27	111	141	4.5	1.52	311	3.9	0.13
2.04	1.0	296								
Angola11.3 0.1	575 28	467	992	30	0.6	0.27	124	8.9	0.62	0.27
Benin 5.5	23	15	116	4	0.2	0.35	185	10.8	1.11	0.08
11.6	118									
Botswana	1.5	259	103	198	7	0.3	I.D.	255	6.7	1.05
I.D.	0.1	I.D.								
Burkina Fasc		94	I.D.	251	I.D.	I.D.	0.23	258	1.5	0.98
I.D.	1.9	0	2	1.4	I D	ID	0.17	<b>6</b> -	2.6	0.76
Burundi I.D.	6.3 1.8	22 I.D.	3	14	I.D.	I.D.	0.17	65	3.6	0.76
L.D. Cameroon	1.6 13.5	1.D. 90	265	448	89	1.1	0.61	146	8.7	1.26
0.09	2.5	49	203	<del>11</del> 0	U)	1.1	0.01	140	0.7	1.20

Central Africa 1.02	ın Rep. I.D.	3.4 0.2	50 3	184	623	1	0.0	0.31	158	4.2
Chad 6.9 0.1	483 I.D.	35	305	12	0.8	0.16	189	6.0	0.68	I.D.
Congo 2.6 0.2	102 55	277	343	3	0.1	0.67	97	22.5	1.24	0.36
Congo, Dem.		Zaire) 0.10	46.8 0.3	229 0	1 507	2 266	78	0.7	0.13	49
Côte d'Ivoire 0.31	13.8 2.7	202 114	72	319	94	2.6	0.66	163	12.1	0.98
Egypt 63.5 354.6	33	1	6	82	3.9	1.08	347	6.6	0.10	1.48
Eritrea 3.3 0.7	75 12	1	18	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	173	1.2	I.D.	I.D.
Ethiopia 0.05	56.8 4.8	320 11	56	383	163	2.5	0.10	198	0.1	0.89
Gabon 1.1 0.0	52 125	237	263	91	1.4	I.D.	210	36.0	I.D.	1.69
Gambia, The I.D.	1.1 1.6	4 73	5	11	1	0.1	1.28	191	17.6	1.07
Ghana 18.2 0.7	129 130	57	239	103	2.8	0.36	118	19.4	1.44	0.24
Guinea 7.3 0.2	116 20	50	244	39	1.3	0.56	140	9.8	0.73	I.D.
Guinea-Bissau		14	7	32	I.D.	I.D.	0.25	244	5.4	0.51
I.D. Kenya 27.9 4.4	0.4 258 32	101 30	101	240	3.7	0.32	184	5.7	1.48	0.22
Lesotho	2.0	23	1	21	21	1.3	0.66	263	4.3	0.36
I.D. Liberia2.2 I.D.	2.6 23 7	I.D. 63	96	25	1.1	I.D.	121	4.4	2.15	I.D.
Libya 5.1 4.2	154 724	1	13	57	3.1	I.D.	314	6.1	0.17	9.52
Madagascar I.D.	14.2 0.4	271 6	61	536	306	3.2	0.25	I.D.	7.3	0.69
Malawi	9.8	35	39	94	61	1.6	0.18	207	6.7	0.94
I.D. Mali 10.2 0.6	9.9 347 2	14 61	346	15	0.9	0.24	258	13.2	0.66	I.D.
Mauritania I.D.	2.4 0.1	398 134	0	1	3	0.3	0.47	228	16.2	0.01
Mauritius I.D.	1.1 158.0	1	I.D.	I.D.	294	39.2	3.71	266	29.1	0.16
Morocco	26.4	307	19	97	186	5.1	1.29	365	8.4	0.13
0.98 Mozambique 0.13	8.1 18.0 0.2	235 472 4	216	772	89	1.6	0.08	114	1.8	1.03

Namib		1.6	388	34	158	75	2.4	2.25	192	11.2	I.D.
Niger	I.D. 9.5 0.6	I.D. 154 3	I.D. I.D.	114	I.D.	I.D.	0.20	297	0.6	0.64	I.D.
Nigeria		101.4 1.8	729 40	172	870	37	0.8	0.24	206	6.7	1.13
Rwanc		5.5 0.1	18 2	3	9	I.D.	I.D.	0.19	98	0.8	1.04
Senega		8.5 1.4	80 89	25	143	31	1.5	0.57	253	26.8	0.62
Sierra l		4.3 0.7	27 49	12	71	29	1.4	0.20	155	14.1	0.74
Somali		8.5 0.0	440 4	1	27	103	3.4	I.D.	100	1.1	1.10
South		38.1 6.7	973 250	104	399	2 215	9.5	3.52	324	10.4	0.54
Sudan		1 230 18	122	567	10	0.3	I.D.	236	1.7	0.59	0.16
Tanzar		30.7	390	161	651	436	4.4	0.17	179	11.2	1.24
Togo	0.07 4.2	0.9 26	26 3	57	4	0.2	0.30	178	12.3	0.60	I.D.
Tunisia	4.9	116 9.1	80	3	28	24	1.1	1.93	318	7.7	0.49
Ugand	1.70 la	10.3 19.5	388 86	39	179	15	0.3	0.30	118	9.6	0.84
Zambi	I.D.	0.3 8.4	14 353	248	661	12	0.3	0.36	220	8.2	1.74
Zimbal	0.25 bwe	1.0 11.0	42 204	155	389	100	2.3	0.61	218	2.2	0.71
	1.61	6.7	140								
Middle			6 00 <b>5</b>	240	000	I.D.	. D	4 = 4	224	- 4	0.45
Centra	1 Asıa 4.79	307.0 8.1	6 087 344	210	982	I.D.	I.D.	1.56	331	5.4	0.17
Afghai		20.4	381 6	21	21	4	0.1	I.D.	198	I.D.	0.39
Armen		3.6 5.8	14 79	4	8	31	I.D.	0.63	253	1.1	I.D.
Azerba		7.6 8.1	42 30	11	28	28	I.D.	0.48	242	4.7	0.01
Georgi		5.2	30 20	32	51	29	I.D.	0.85	286	1.1	I.D.
Iran	63.5 17.7	12.4 634 257	23	24	2	0.0	I.D.	279	5.3	0.14	4.17
Iraq	20.6 34.7	98 103	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	175	1.1	0.01	3.46

Israel 5.7 123.7	6 1 051 <sup>3</sup>	I.D.	24	32	1.4	15.87	437	19.0	0.43	8.81
Jordan 5.9 13.3	1031 12 565*	I.D.	12	9	0.4	1.65	246	2.9	0.07	2.20
Kazakhstan 8.69	16.4 0.5	2 189 204	26	83	71	I.D.	1.35	642	3.5	0.05
6.07 Kuwait1.7 7.0	1 2 980°	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	323	13.1	0.18	22.97
Kyrgyzstan 1.34	4.6 2.5	104 109	8	7	34	I.D.	0.55	368	0.1	0.02
Lebanon 4.46	3.1 142.9	3 1 450°	I.D.	8	5	0.2	2.97	277	0.7	0.38
Oman 2.2 8.5	11 786*	I.D.	54	30	2.5	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	0.01	5.83
Saudi Arabia 13.21	18.8	1 238 808	I.D.	47	7	0.3	I.D.	282	6.3	0.14
Syria 14.6 22.0	135 300	I.D.	34	8	0.3	1.16	345	0.9	0.03	2.77
Tajikistan 1.02	5.8 14.4	44 9	I.D.	I.D.	50	I.D.	0.34	241	0.6	0.00
Turkey62.3 43.9	393 471	80	441	1 876	21.7	2.83	439	9.3	0.37	2.70
Turkmenistar 7.52	1 4.2 4.1	315 110	2	16	17	I.D.	0.94	321	8.0	0.00
United Arab I 0.38	Emirates 36.69		4 2 647	I.D.	3	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	26.3
Uzbekistan 4.46	22.8 17.7	257 217	2	2	41	I.D.	1.01	337	1.0	0.00
Yemen15.7 0.6	176 101	I.D.	120	149	9.0	0.38	201	5.6	0.03	0.53
Asia/Pacific 0.41	3 222.3 2.18	3 41.6		4 423	14 475	I.D.	I.D.	2.71	282	16.1
Australia 16.70	18.1	4 647 362		762	2 245	14.4	20.09	525	19.1	1.03
Bangladesh 0.17	120.6 119.7	94 27	14	135	24	0.5	0.26	201	9.3	0.27
Bhutan 1.9 0.2	4 84	26	32	23	0.4	0.39	I.D.	I.D.	0.85	I.D.
Cambodia I.D.	10.2 2.3	53 38	116	177	5	I.D.	0.30	255	8.7	0.79
China 1 232 2.55		5 351 388	596	4 733	312	1.0	0.75	363	19.0	0.28
Hong Kong 5.95	6.4 I.D.	0 942*	0	1	9	0.5	24.29	460	46.0	0.85
India 950.0 73.4	1.D. 1 810 79	543	2 330	1 236	7.7	0.38	202	3.8	0.32	0.91

Indonesia 1.19	200.4 54.1	428 133	1 027	1 879	264	0.9	1.08	262	15.2	0.92
Japan 125.8 224.5	54.1 50 655	114	335	707	12.7	40.94	316	70.8	0.86	9.36
Korea, D.P.R. 3.55		21 752	40	117	4	0.1	I.D.	239	44.5	0.20
Korea, Rep. 9.02	45.3 326.5	20 1 295	14	88	66	2.3	10.61	350	50.2	0.50
Laos 4.9 3.6	17 50	130	229	2	I.D.	0.40	272	6.4	0.99	I.D.
Malaysia 5.13	20.5 63.4	79 850	157	329	490	3.2	4.37	286	53.6	1.64
Mongolia I.D.	2.5 0.0	1 185 43	26	312	0	0.0	0.36	421	0.1	0.31
Myanmar 0.16	43.4 15.5	105 27	460	658	32	0.5	I.D.	273	16.5	0.51
Nepal 21.8 21.2	47 23	75	120	20	0.3	0.21	266	0.8	0.96	0.08
New Zealand 8.71		168 250	39	228	211	8.9	15.72	496	23.0	1.55
Pakistan 0.64	140.1 90.4		22	349	14	0.3	0.48	199	2.3	0.22
Papua New Gr 1.30		4.4 17.5	6 24	357	438	92	0.8	1.15	I.D.	26.2
Philippines 0.94	69.9 53.1	108 208	63	292	360	4.0	1.16	223	32.4	0.62
Singapore 19.34	3.4 I.D.	0 2 566*	I.D.	I.D.	29	1.3	30.55	I.D.	I.D.	0.84
Sri Lanka 0.43	18.1 62.6	23 87	17	61	455	13.7	0.74	166	15.4	0.59
Taiwan 8.11	21.5 I.D.	9 1 059	5	31	325	9.1	12.87	353	45.5	0.40
Thailand 2.96	59.2 59.6	<ul><li>212</li><li>528</li></ul>	170	514	385	3.3	2.96	224	25.7	0.74
Viet Nam 0.52	75.2 196.0	71 102	45	325	341	3.2	0.29	280	12.6	0.47
Latin America	and									
the Caribbean 2.44	483.8 9.3	7 515 188	7 264	11 395	I.D.	I.D.	3.72	331	8.0	0.77
Argentina 3.75	35.2 4.7	1 692 143	229	257	247	2.6	8.38	547	7.9	0.37
Bolivia 7.6 0.3	288 133	686	749	227	1.3	0.83	278	1.6	0.27	0.99
		3 061	5 277	1 358	2.4	4.40	383	5.8	1.28	1.77

Chile	14.4 22.1	167 292	142	335	329	6.2	4.86	320	17.0	1.00	3.33
Colom	ıbia	39.3	445	537	963	712	1.4	2.14	218	4.3	0.56
Costa	1.63 Rica 1.23	9.2 3.7 9.6	174 29 272	15	51	527	4.3	2.64	254	6.0	1.57
Cuba		67 124	31	106	888	13.6	I.D.	169	11.3	0.29	2.82
Domir	nican Re		8.0	40	12	48	136	2.4	1.60	221	11.9
	0.19	1.42	18.8	183							
Ecuad	or	11.7	81	135	217	824	4.3	1.50	248	7.7	0.97
	1.72	10.7	235								
El Salv		5.8	14	1	21	42	1.4	1.70	219	3.0	0.83
_	0.75	55.4	171								
Guate		10.2	45	48	107	355	4.1	1.47	178	0.9	1.36
TT 1.1	0.58	31.5	139		100	4.0	0.01			0.0	0.4.4
Haiti	7.7	14	1	27	100	1.9	0.31	144	3.7	0.85	0.14
بل مدر ا	2.8	37	26	EE	112	06	1 7	0.66	1/0	0.0	1.20
Hondu		5.8 17.9	36 165	55	113	96	1.7	0.66	169	0.8	1.20
Jamaio	0.61	2.5	5	4	11	744	22.5	1.60	208	21.6	0.26
Jamaic	.a 3.92	27.8	234	4	11	/ <del>1 1</del>	22.5	1.00	200	21.0	0.20
Mexic		92.7	1 068	673	1 072	1 593	6.1	3.67	372	9.4	0.27
IVICAIC	3.69	11.5	179	075	10/2	1 373	0.1	5.07	312	). <del>1</del>	0.27
Nicara		4.6	76	57	120	98	1.3	0.38	184	1.4	0.85
1 110010	0.60	4.9	86	0,	120	, 0	1.0	0.00	101	1.1	0.00
Panam		2.7	21	39	74	1 302	13.1	3.08	276	16.8	0.51
	1.70	16.9	137								
Paragu	ıay	5.0	240	139	175	129	1.6	1.85	I.D.	3.6	2.00
O	0.64	0.8	124								
Peru	23.9	313	853	996	906	5.0	2.24	157	19.3	0.35	1.07
	5.3	173									
Puerto	Rico	3.7	3	2	9	223	8.9	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.
	I.D.	I.D.	I.D.								
Trinid	ad and 7				1	5	21	0.9	3.87	I.D.	9.5
	0.22	13.24		225*			. –				
Urugu		3.2	148	I.D.	I.D.	15	0.7	5.76	577	7.7	1.22
<b>T</b> 7		7.8	261	- 4 4	<i>((</i> 2	107	2.0	2.02	210	110	0.10
Venez		22.3	217	544	662	426	2.0	3.02	310	14.8	0.13
	5.92	10.4	199								
Nouth	A 200 ozri oz		200.4	1 906	6.027	0.570	ID	ID	26.73	60E	21.7
Norui.	America 1.95	a 19.10		332	6 927	9 370	1.D.	1.D.	20.73	003	21.7
Canad		29.9			1 796	278	8.5	19.02	488	22.5	1.93
CariaU	a 15.68		211	7 U1/	<b>T</b> / 20	270	0.0	17.02	100	22.5	1.70
United	15.00 States			269 4	4 162	2 911	4 775	4 669	24 0	28.02	707
Cince		1.95		36.8		<b>4</b> 711	1//3	1 007	<b>_</b> 1.0	20.02	707
	_1.0	1.70	17.70	50.0	550						

Western Europe			1 464	1 213	3 288	I.D.	I.D.	23.24	467	24.2
	35	93.9 36	457 78	23	0.7	28.11	518	12.3	1.32	7.78
Belgium/Luxen		310.5 153.4		8	32	2	0.1	27.32	504	21.1
Denmark 5	5.2 128.8	26	4	43	2	0.1	32.10	691	23.1	1.32
Finland 5 12.53 9	5.1	26 231	253	302	6	0.5	23.24	421	34.5	2.30
	301	108	536	195	4.2	26.27	501	28.0	0.86	6.60
	31.9	173 550	104	349	14	0.5	28.87	465	15.6	0.67
Greece 10.5	92 165	45	130	571	11.4	11.46	477	25.5	0.35	7.37
	44	5	60	1	0.1	17.11	509	20.1	0.93	10.04
Italy 57.4 1	.53 .587	68	289	311	5.6	19.88	479	23.0	0.65	7.32
Netherlands 1 11.99 2	5.5	20 358	2	24	1	0.1	25.94	454	14.6	0.63
Norway 4	4.4	11 401	120	160	12	0.7	34.51	372	68.7	1.38
Portugal 9	9.9	38 832	27	88	269	5.3	10.16	426	58.4	0.40
Spain 39.6 3		143	492	985	19.5	14.35	487	37.2	0.59	5.95
Sweden 8	8.8	34 168	294	403	13	0.7	25.71	413	30.8	1.97
Switzerland 7	7.2 52.2	16 634	13	33	30	1.0	44.35	393	16.0	0.82
United Kingdon	n	58.4 100.8	172 211	23	204	18	1.1	19.60	409	20.1
Central and Eastern Europe					8 676	13 782	I.D.	I.D.	2.38	462
Albania 3	).48 3.2	8.39 11	17.0 11	191 28	79	2.6	0.82	357	1.2	0.14
Belarus 1	0.4	214 94	63	199	1	I.D.	2.07	542	1.3	1.43
5.93 3 Bosnia and Herz	34.8 zegovi	142 ina	3.4	19	23	52	64	I.D.	I.D.	289
	).01 8.4	1.36 62	7.0 38	92 108	106	3.0	1.19	453	2.5	0.40
6.51 2	26.6 25	190 12	55	6	I.D.	3.80	244	3.4	0.51	3.34
	440	14	55	U	1.12.	5.00	<b>∠</b> 11	J. <b>-I</b>	0.01	J.J- <b>I</b>

Czech Rep. 11.59	10.3	42	25	79	811	3.1	4.74	578	5.0	0.74
Estonia	1.5	381 15	15	42	2	I.D.	3.08	470	36.2	0.68
13.38 Hungary 5.80	13.2 10.2 63.9	<ul><li>273</li><li>62</li><li>276</li></ul>	7	70	30	1.4	4.34	595	4.4	0.46
29.7	25 77	16	64	I.D.	I.D.	2.30	437	37.5	2.27	3.71
Lithuania 4.00	3.7 32.0	35 96	15	65	1	I.D.	2.28	497	33.4	0.94
Macedonia 5.70	2.0 24.7	13 282	11	25	I.D.	I.D.	0.99	343	3.5	0.43
Moldova 2.69	4.4 39.2	26 13	1	17	5	I.D.	0.59	304	1.1	0.12
Poland38.7 68.3	185 271	89	311	27	1.1	3.23	510	16.4	0.54	9.45
Romania 5.44	22.6 27.9	148 187	81	196	99	2.9	1.60	439	1.9	0.53
Russian Feder 0.45		147.9 6.6	2 203 185	8 131	12 025	214	I.D.	2.41	459	17.2
Slovakia 7.34	5.4 38.0	24 202	23	49	I.D.	I.D.	3.41	458	0.5	0.71
Slovenia 7.14	2.0 60.4	8 480	7	20	13	I.D.	9.24	539	5.7	0.99
Ukraine 7.57	51.3 17.5	417 95	70	277	52	I.D.	1.20	396	9.7	0.22
Yugoslavia 4.46	10.6 34.2	62 203	37	102	155	2.9	I.D.	632	0.7	0.18
Non-OECD	4 658.7	7	35 949	24 108	50 831	I.D.	I.D.	1.09	284	11.3
0.48 Africa 710.0	2.19 10 736	20.4 4 944	212 13 582	I.D.	I.D.	0.61	219	7.0	0.81	0.97
3.0 ME&CA	98 244.7	5 693	130	541	I.D.	I.D.	0.81	302	3.9	0.11
4.96 Asia/PACIFIO	5.6 C3 029.3	312 3	9 889	3 888	13 061	I.D.	I.D.	0.82	277	13.3
0.38 LA&C 391.1	1.69 6 447		238 10 324	I.D.	I.D.	3.59	318	7.6	0.88	2.15
8.9 Europe 283.6		8 555	13 323	I.D.	I.D.	2.00	447	12.1	0.46	8.24
12.3 OECD 1 091.	0	12 996	9 549	16 244	I.D.	I.D.	21.75	499	27.1	1.02
Asia/PACIFIC	C 193.0		535	1 414	I.D.	I.D.	31.39	346	60.2	0.81
9.96 North Americ 1.55	8.2 a 15.65		5 964 299	7 600	10 642	I.D.	I.D.	21.28	611	18.8

# WWF (p 32)

WWF INTERNATIONAL Avenue du Mont-Blanc CH-1196 Gland, Switzerland

Tel: +41 22 364 91 11 Fax: +41 22 364 53 58

E-mail: infobox@wwfnet.org Website: www.panda.org

## **National organizations**

WWF-AUSTRALIA GPO Box 528, Sydney NSW 2001 Tel: +61 2 9281 55515

WWF-AUSTRIA Postfach 1, 1162 Vienna Tel: +43 1 488 170

WWF-BELGIUM 608 Chaussée de Waterloo 1050 Brussels Tel: +32 2 340 09 99

WWF-BRAZIL SHIS EQ QL 6/8 Conjunto E – 2\_ andar 71620-430 Brasilia Tel: +55 61 248 2899

WWF-CANADA 245 Eglinton Avenue East Suite 410, Toronto Ontario M4P 3J1 Tel: +1 416 489 8800

WWF-DENMARK Ryesgade 3 F, 2200 Copenhagen N Tel: +45 35 36 36 35

WWF-FINLAND Lintulahdenkatu10 00500 Helsinki 50 Tel: +358 9 774 0100

WWF-FRANCE

188 rue de la Roquette, 75011 Paris

Tel: +33 1 55 25 84 84

**WWF-GERMANY** 

PO Box 190 440, Rebstocker Str. 55

60326 Frankfurta/Main

Tel: +49 69 79 14 40

**WWF-GREECE** 

Filellinon St 26, 10558 Athens

Tel: +30 1 331 4893

WWF-HONGKONG

GPO Box 12721, Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2526 1011

**WWF-INDIA** 

PO Box 3058

New Delhi 110 003

Tel: +91 11 469 1760-62

WWF-INDONESIA

PO Box 5020 JKTM

12700 Jakarta

Tel: +62 21 576 1070

**WWF-ITALY** 

Via Garigliano 57, 00198 Rome

Tel: +39 06 844 971

WWF-JAPAN

Nihonseimei Akabanebashi Building

3-1-14 Shiba, Minato-ku

Tokyo 105-0014

Tel: +81 3 3769 1711

WWF-MALAYSIA

Locked Bag No 911

Iln Sultan PO

46990 Petaling Jaya

Tel: +60 3 703 3772

**WWF-NETHERLANDS** 

Postbus 7, 3700 AA Zeist

Tel: +31 3069 37 333

WWF-NEW ZEALAND PO Box 6237, Wellington

Tel: +64 4 499 2930

WWF-NORWAY Postboks 6784 St Olavs plass, 0130 Oslo Tel: +47 22 03 65 00

WWF-PAKISTAN
PO Box 5180, FerozepurRoad
Lahore 54600
Tel: +92 42 586 2360/9429
WWF-PHILIPPINES
No 23-A Maalindog Street
UP Village, Diliman
Quezon City 1101
Tel: +632 921 2905/
433 3220-22

WWF-SOUTH AFRICA PO Box 456 Stellenbosch 7599 Tel: +27 21 887 2801

WWF-SPAIN, ADENA Santa Engracia 6-2°Izd 28010 Madrid Tel: +34 91 308 23 09/10

WWF-SWEDEN Ulriksdals Slott, 170 81 Solna Tel: +46 8 624 74 00

WWF-SWITZERLAND Postfach 8010 Zürich Tel: +41 1 297 21 21

WWF-UNITED KINGDOM Panda House, Weyside Park Godalming Surrey GU7 1XR Tel: +44 1483 426 444

WWF-UNITED STATES 1250 24th Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1175 Tel: +1 202 293 4800

#### **Associates**

ARGENTINA FUNDACION VIDA SILVESTRE Defensa 245/51, 6 Piso 1065 Capital Federal Buenos Aires Tel: +54 114 343 3778

ECUADOR FUNDACIONNATURA Casilla 17-01-253, Quito Tel: +593 2 447 922/457 253/ 435 797/466 992/993/081 NIGERIA NIGERIAN CONSERVATION FOUNDATION PO Box 74638 Victoria Island, Lagos Tel: +234 1 2642 498 2600 600

TURKEY DHKD PK 971, Sirkeci 34436, Istanbul Tel: +90 212 528 20 30

VENEZUELA FUDENA Apartado Postal 70376 Caracas 1071-A Tel: +58 2 238 2930/1720/1761/ 1793/235 9454

### **Programme offices**

Bhutan Post Box 210, Thimphu Tel: +975 2 23528/23316

BOLIVIA Calle Guemes No 4 Barrio Equipetrol, Santa Cruz Tel: +591 3 365326/378317

Cameroon BP 6776, Yaoundé Tel: +237 21 42 41 Central Africa BP 9144, Libreville, Gabon

Tel: +241 73 00 28

Central America Apartado 70, Turrialba 7170 CATIE, Costa Rica Tel: +506 556 1383/1737

China
Room 701, The Gateway
No 10 Yabao Road
Chaoyang District, Beijing 100020
Tel: +86 10 6591 5732-38

Colombia
Carrera 35 No 4A-25
San Fernando, Cali, Valle
Tel: +57 2 558 2577/86/87
Danube/carpathian
Postfach 1, 1162 Vienna
Austria
Tel: +431 488 17 253

Eastern Africa PO Box 62440, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: +254 2 33 29 63

European Policy 36, Avenue de Tervuren 1040 Brussels, Belgium Tel: +32 2 743 88 00

hungary Németvölgyi út 78/b 1124 Budapest Tel: +36 1 214 5554/212 3041

Indochina International PO Box 151 Hanoi, Vietnam Tel: +84 4 8220 640/641

Macroeconomics for Sustainable Development 1250 24th Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1175 USA

Tel: +1 202 778 9602

Madagascar

BP 738 Antananarivo 101

Tel: +261 20 22 34885/34638/30420

Mediterranean Via Garigliano 57 00198 Rome, Italy

Tel: +39 06 844 97360

Mexico

Ave Mexico No 51 Col Hipodromo Condesa 06170 Mexico, DF

Tel: +525 286 5631/5634

Nepal

Post Box 7660

Lal Durbar, Kathmandu2

Tel: +977 1 434820/434970

Peru

Apartado Postal 11-0205

Lima 11

Tel: +51 1 261 5300/5301

Russia

From Europe:

Account No WWF 232

PO Box 289

Weybridge

Surrey KT 13 8WJ

United Kingdom

From the USA:

Account No WWF 232

208 East 51st Street

Suite 295

New York, NY 10022, USA

Tel: +7 095 727 0939

Southern Africa

PO Box CY 1409

Causeway, Harare

Zimbabwe

Tel: +263 4 730 599/

703 902/723 870

South Pacific Private Mail Bag GPO Suva, Fiji Tel: +679 31 55 33

Tanzania PO Box 63117 Dar es Salaam Tel: +255 51 75346/72455

THAILAND Asian Institute of Technology 104 Outreach Building Bangkok 10501 PO Box 4 Kuong Luang 12120 Tel: +66 2 524 6129

Western Africa 08 BP 1776 Abidjan 08 Côte d'Ivoire

Tel: +225 44 87 86